GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Department of Energy and Environment

CHAPTER 2 TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

TO:

File

THROUGH: Stephen S. Ours, P.E.

Chief, Permitting Branch

FROM:

Olivia Achuko

Environmental Engineer

SUBJECT:

Imperial Auto Body of DC

Permit Nos. 6358-R1 and 7208

Permits to Operate Two Paint Spray Booths at 6420 Chillum Place NW

DATE:

April 11, 2018

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Permit applications to operate two existing paint spray booths at the Imperial Auto Body of DC located at 6420 Chillum Place NW, Washington, DC, were received by the Air Quality Division (AQD). A first application was received June 13, 2016, following the revision of the Air quality regulation pertaining to motor vehicle and mobile equipment non-assembly line coating operations. This application was to continue operation of a previously permitted Spray Bake. Semi Down Draft paint booth. The facility previously had a permit (No. 6358) that was issued in 2010, but has since expired. The old file was not able to be located.

In the June 2016 application, the stack height from the roof and the picture of the exhaust point were missing and email was sent to request additional information. After several attempts to get the requested information, on January 5, 2018 a picture of a stack was sent to complete the information needed to proceed. The review process was again started. However, AQD soon noticed that the picture sent did not show the exit of the stack enough to determine compliance with the requirement for an unimpeded vertical stack exit. Permit Writer Olivia Achuko and Inspector Rafiq Jennings went to the facility to get the required information. A picture of the stack exit was then taken to verify compliance with stack exit requirement.

During the site visit, it was discovered that there are two (2) paint booths at the facility. The following day, Inspector Jennings delivered additional application forms to the facility so that the facility could submit an application for the second unit. The application for the second booth was received on March 27, 2018 and was assigned Permit No. 7208. The permit proposed for issuance will be issued as one document covering the two units.

A complete package of the safety data sheets (SDSs) for materials used at the facility was also





acquired during the site visit. Upon review of the SDSs, it was discovered that the VOC content of the solvents were not listed on what was provided.

On February 15, 2018 Ms. Achuko contacted the PPG technical information line where a technical expert walked her through obtaining the required documents needed to determine compliance.

AQD has determined that the facility is an existing source under the District of Columbia's newly promulgated (final December 1, 2016) "Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Non-Assembly Line Coating Operations Regulations" (20 DCMR 718). The new regulation is applicable to both new and existing sources.

According to their applications, this facility has been in operation as an automotive paint shops since May 1995 (though current owners took over on July 1, 2010) so pursuant to 20 DCMR 63.11171, the facility is considered an existing source with respect to 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHHH, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Paint Stripping and Miscellaneous Surface Coating Operations at Area Sources.

This permit action will be published in the DC Register on April 27, 2018. Public comments for the permit action will be solicited through May 28, 2018.

The applicant has not requested that any of the materials submitted with this application be held confidential.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The equipment at this site includes one Semi Down Draft paint spray booth by Spray Bake and one Down Draft paint spray booth by Eagle. Both units are used for full body auto painting, sanding, priming and other auto refinishing operations. There will be no use of methylene chloride (MeCl) at this facility. The company intends to use high volume low pressure (HVLP) spray guns and a fully enclosed spray gun cleaning system that is kept closed when not in use.

The first application was missing some important information and an email was sent to get additional information. The filter efficiency and stack information (height above roof and discharge point design) were needed to determine compliance and therefore must be provided. The pictures that were sent after the email did not provide the needed information so we had to go to the site to get additional information and took some pictures of the stack. All required information was obtained.

This facility is an existing source under 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHHH, as discussed above, therefore the compliance date for 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHHH was January 10, 2011.

It is difficult to accurately estimate emissions from an automotive paint spray booth due to the variations of coatings, job sizes, etc. that occur in a typical automotive paint shop. However, in

order to determine a reasonable estimate for purposes of this evaluation, AQD referenced EPA's "Technical Support Document for Potential to Emit Guidance memo. Documentation of Emission Calculations" [Tim Smith, USEPA/OAQPS, April 1998]. Using an average VOC content of 3.5 pounds per gallon, this document estimates 4.8 pounds of VOCs could be emitted per average job. This document also estimates that a single paint booth could be used for no more than 25 jobs per week. Based on these estimates, AQD calculated potential emissions from the single paint booth of 3.12 tons per year of VOCs.

REGULATORY REVIEW

20 DCMR Chapter 2, Section 200: General Permit Requirements:

An automotive spray paint booth is a potential air pollution source because most auto body paints, coatings, and solvents contain volatile organic compounds that are emitted upon use. Thus a Chapter 2 permit is required.

20 DCMR Chapter 6, Section 606: Visible Emissions

The visible emissions limitations of 20 DCMR 606 are applicable to this facility. Proper operation of the equipment would preclude any visible emissions from being emitted into the outdoor atmosphere from the operation of the paint/spray booth and other equipment at the facility. This more stringent requirement (required by 20 DCMR 201) is contained in Condition II(i).

20 DCMR Chapter 7: Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) Emissions Reduction
The requirements of 20 DCMR 700 were not included in the permit as they are not applicable when 20 DCMR 718 is applicable.

The facility is regulated under the newly revised 20 DCMR 718 which is the District's primary regulation for controlling air emissions from automotive painting operations. The requirements of this regulation have been included in the permit.

This memorandum will not cover all the detailed requirements of this regulation as they are extensive and make up the majority of the permit. However, highlights of the regulatory requirements include the following:

- Limits on allowable VOC content in coatings and solvents [Conditions II(b) and (c)];
- Limits on the coating methods and spray guns allowed [Conditions III(a) and (d) and related conditions];
- Emission point (stack) discharge height and exhaust velocity requirements [Condition III(c)] (primarily intended to reduce odor at ground level);
- Paint booth and filter specifications [Condition III(e)]; and
- Housekeeping and training requirements [Condition III(f)].

For all of these, appropriate monitoring, testing, and record keeping requirements have been included in the permit to ensure that compliance status can be determined.

20 DCMR Chapter 9, Section 903: Odorous or Other Nuisance Air Pollutants

"An emission into the atmosphere of odorous or other air pollutants from any source in any quantity and of any characteristic, and duration which is, or is likely to be injurious to the public health or welfare, or which interferes with the reasonable enjoyment of life or property is prohibited [20 DCMR 903.1]" is applicable to all sources. This requirement is contained in Condition II(h) of the permit. Many of the other conditions of the permit, especially many of those brought into the permit pursuant to 20 DCMR 718 are intended, at least in part, to reduce detectable odors. Should odors be problematic despite these requirements, Condition I(g), included in the permit pursuant to 20 DCMR 718.18, allows the Department to require the installation of additional control devices as necessary to ensure compliance.

20 DCMR Chapter 14, Section 1409: Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Paint Stripping and Miscellaneous Surface Coating Operations at Area Sources
This regulation adopts 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHHH by reference. Please see the discussion of 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHHH below in "Other Regulations".

Other Regulations:

40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHHH, "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Paint Stripping and Miscellaneous Surface Coating Operations at Area Sources" (also known as the "Auto Body NESHAP") is applicable to the facility. The rule applies to all motor vehicle and mobile equipment surface coating operations that paint with hazardous air pollutants (HAPs), including the collision repair industry. The compliance date for "existing" facilities such as this one was January 10, 2011. Many of the operational requirements of this regulation are similar to those of 20 DCMR 718. In some cases, 20 DCMR 718 references 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHHHH.

To address the applicability of this regulation, it is indicated in the application that chemical paint strippers containing methylene chloride are not used at the site. Thus, the requirements for paint stripping are generally not applicable in this case. Condition II(a) of the permit was developed to ensure that no methylene chloride containing strippers are used at the facility.

The paint spray booth must meet the design requirements of 40 CFR 63.11173(e)(2)(ii). These requirements were included in the permit as Condition III(e)(3).

Condition III(e)(1) was written to allow the facility to use only exhaust filters with 98% or higher capture efficiency per 40 CFR 63.11173(e)(2)(i).

Condition III(a) of the permit was written to ensure compliance with the paint application technique specifications in both 20 DCMR 718.11 and 40 CFR 63.11173(e)(3). Similarly, the spray gun requirements of Condition III(d) were written to ensure compliance with 40 CFR 63.11173(e)(4) and 20 DCMR 718.15.

The training requirements of 40 CFR 63.11173(e)(1), (f), and (g) were included in the permit as Condition III(g).

All applicable record keeping requirements were included in Condition V of the permit. It should be noted that all records will be required to be kept for five years, rather than three per 20 DCMR 500.8, due to the more stringent five year requirement in 40 CFR 63.11178, the similar requirement in 20 DCMR 718.23, and the difficulty of having two different document retention policies for different sets of records.

The notification and reporting requirements of 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHHH are contained in Conditions VI(a) through (c).

RECOMMENDATIONS

The application to operate the paint spray booth facility (as well as modify the exhaust stack height) and the attached operating permit comply with all applicable federal and District air pollution control laws and regulations.

Public comments for the permit action will be solicited from April 27, 2018 through May 28, 2018. AQD will resolve any comments received before taking any final action on the permit. If no adverse comments are received, I recommend that permit Nos. 6578-R1 and 7208 be issued in accordance with 20 DCMR 200.2 promptly upon the completion of the public review period.

SSO:NOA